Identity Politics and Social Conflict in Contemporary Thai Society[*]

Kanjira Wijitwatchararak
Mahamakut Buddhist University, Thailand
E-mail: kanjira9@gmail.com

Abstract

This article examines the dynamics of identity politics in contemporary Thai society by analyzing how historical narratives, structural inequalities, and legal frameworks shape ethnic, regional, religious, and gender-based conflicts. Drawing on case studies from Isaan, the Deep South, LGBTQ+ communities, and Cold War-era discourses, the article highlights how state-driven nationalism has marginalized diverse identities through cultural assimilation and centralized governance. It argues that effective conflict resolution requires structural reforms, inclusive representation, and a shift toward pluralistic democratic practices. The discussion further situates Thailand within broader global debates on identity and nationalism, emphasizing the growing impact of digital media and generational change on political mobilization.

Keywords: Identity politics, Ethnicity, Nationalism, Conflict, Thailand

Introduction

Identity politics refers to a political approach wherein people of a particular religion, race, social background, class, or other identifying factors develop political agendas that are based upon these identities. It emphasizes the group's specific interests and perspectives and often challenges the dominant power structures that marginalize or ignore these identities (Heyes, 2020). Rather than assuming a neutral or universal perspective in politics, identity politics prioritizes recognition, representation, and justice for marginalized or underrepresented groups.

In the context of **contemporary Thai society**, identity politics has become increasingly salient, particularly as tensions surrounding ethnicity, region, religion, and gender have come to the fore. The roots of these identity-based struggles can be traced to Thailand's historical project of **nation-building**, particularly during the 20th century, when a process commonly referred to as **"Thaification"** was instituted. Thaification was a state-driven attempt to forge a unified national identity based on Central Thai culture, language, and loyalty to the monarchy. This policy promoted the dominance of

the Central Thai ethnic group and marginalized other ethnic and regional communities such as the **Isaan** (Northeastern Thais), the **Malay-Muslims** in the deep South, and various **hill tribes** in the North (Thongchai, 1994; Winichakul, 2000).

Under Thaification, the Thai language became the official and dominant medium of education and administration, while other languages and dialects were excluded from formal institutions. Religious policy also promoted **Theravāda Buddhism** as an integral part of Thai identity, contributing to the exclusion of **Muslim** and **animist** communities (McCargo, 2008). This form of cultural assimilation suppressed pluralism and reinforced a narrow conception of "Thai-ness" that excluded ethnic, religious, and regional others. Over time, these exclusions generated tensions and contributed to **social conflicts**, particularly as marginalized groups began to assert their identities and demand recognition, autonomy, and rights.

Thus, in Thailand, identity politics is not merely a recent phenomenon, but rather a structural feature of the country's political and cultural history. The interplay between centralized nationalism and local/regional identities continues to define much of the political and social landscape of contemporary Thai society.

Theoretical and Historical Background

1. Nation-building and Assimilation (Thaification)

The modern Thai state's approach to nation-building was significantly shaped by its attempt to centralize power and create a unified national identity under the ideology of "Thaification." Following the 1932 revolution and particularly after 1933, successive Thai governments—especially under Prime Minister Field Marshal Phibun Songkhram—implemented assimilationist policies that elevated Central Thai language, dress, customs, and Theravāda Buddhism as the cultural norms of the nation (Thongchai, 1994). Ethnic minorities such as the Isaan people, Malay-Muslims, Karen, Hmong, and hill tribes were often portrayed as peripheral or "un-Thai" and were subjected to educational, linguistic, and religious policies aimed at their assimilation (Wikipedia, 2024).

The state's centralization efforts included the standardization of the Thai language as the official medium of instruction in all schools, erasure of local dialects and histories from the national curriculum, and suppression of regional autonomy. This not only marginalized regional and ethnic identities but also entrenched the dominance of the Bangkok-centric elite (McCargo, 2005). As a result, many non-Central Thai groups developed a counter-consciousness and began asserting their ethnic and regional identities, setting the stage for identity-based grievances and resistance.

2. Bourdieu's Habitus and Social Structures

To understand how identity politics takes root in Thailand, Pierre Bourdieu's theory of habitus provides a useful lens. Bourdieu defines habitus as a system of dispositions shaped by historical and structural forces, which in turn shape an individual's behavior and worldview (Bourdieu, 1977). In the Thai context, society exhibits intersecting habitus of pre-capitalist patron-client relationships and capitalist bureaucratic systems. These overlapping structures influence not only political behavior but also how identity and social status are reproduced and contested (Naruemon & McCargo, 2011; Cambridge University Press, 2020).

Bourdieu's framework is particularly applicable to Thai society where social capital, symbolic power, and cultural capital determine who is perceived as

authentically "Thai." For example, the habitus cultivated among urban elites in Bangkok often clashes with that of rural populations in Isaan and the South, deepening political divisions and contributing to recurring conflict. This divergence in habitus is further exacerbated by the education system, media representation, and state narratives that favor elite, Central Thai norms (Unisalento, 2021).

3. Identity Formation and Narrative Contestation

The process of identity formation in Thailand has been shaped by narrative contestation between competing visions of the Thai nation. During the Cold War period, the Thai state promoted a discourse of the "Thai Self" in opposition to a "foreign Other," including communists, ethnic Chinese, American imperialists, and Northeastern "uncivilized" people (Yensabai, 2019). This discursive construction played a central role in nation-building, reinforcing state legitimacy while marginalizing dissenters and minorities.

In particular, the state narrative portrayed communists and leftists—many of whom came from marginalized ethnic or regional backgrounds—as threats to Thai sovereignty and culture. This allowed the government to justify surveillance, censorship, and military violence in the name of national security (Yensabai, 2019). These discourses continue to shape how difference is treated in Thai society and how marginalized groups are framed within national debates, influencing contemporary identity politics.

Manifestations of Identity Politics in Thailand

1. Ethnic and Regional Identity

Thailand's cultural and political landscape reveals deep-seated tensions along ethnic and regional lines. Among the most prominent is the case of Isaan, the Northeastern region, home to approximately one-third of Thailand's population. Isaan people speak a Lao dialect, practice distinct cultural traditions, and have historically experienced economic and political marginalization. The Red Shirt movement (United Front for Democracy Against Dictatorship—UDD), which emerged after the 2006 coup, drew significant support from Isaan provinces, reflecting discontent with the Bangkok-centric elite and a call for greater political inclusion (Beech, 2014).

The Isaan identity is thus political as well as cultural: it expresses pride in regional language and heritage while also signaling dissatisfaction with the state's long-standing economic neglect and cultural suppression. This sense of injustice contributes to a strong regional consciousness, reinforcing identity-based mobilization.

In the deep South, the identity of Malay Muslims—numbering over 1.8 million—is anchored in the Patani-Malay language, Islam, and a historical memory of an autonomous Patani kingdom. Since the early 2000s, the southern border provinces (Yala, Pattani, Narathiwat) have seen a resurgence of insurgency movements rooted in long-standing grievances over assimilation policies, police violence, and the erasure of Malay-Muslim identity from the national narrative (McCargo, 2008). The conflict is not merely about political autonomy but about cultural survival and religious self-determination.

2. Religious Identity

While Thailand is often portrayed as religiously tolerant, in practice, Buddhist nationalism has played a central role in shaping the state's identity. Theravāda Buddhism is implicitly tied to "Thai-ness," and institutional structures—such as state

support for the Sangha (Buddhist monastic order)—reinforce this link. Minority religions, especially Islam, are frequently treated as external to the Thai identity, particularly in the southern provinces where religious identity is inseparable from ethnic identity (McCargo, 2008).

This religious hegemony has fostered discrimination and alienation. For instance, state policies have promoted Buddhist education, celebrations, and moral values in schools, often sidelining the religious practices of Muslims and Christians. During national crises, religious themes are often deployed to reaffirm loyalty to the monarchy and national unity—sometimes at the expense of inclusivity (Yensabai, 2019). Such merging of religious symbolism with national ideology contributes to identity-based exclusion and fuels resistance among minority faith communities.

3. Identity-based Movements and Social Norms

Thailand has also seen increasing activism around gender and sexual identity, particularly from the LGBTQ+ community. Despite cultural visibility, especially of kathoey (trans women), discrimination in employment, access to health care, and legal recognition persists. Kathoey are not recognized under Thai law in terms of their gender identity, and many are forced to use documents that misrepresent their identity (Winter, 2006). Legal battles for recognition, anti-discrimination protection, and gender equality have become central to LGBTQ+ identity politics.

In response to both domestic advocacy and international pressure, Thailand has proposed Anti-Discrimination and Ethnic Protection Bills, which aim to prohibit discrimination based on ethnicity, gender, religion, and sexuality. These legislative efforts, though not yet comprehensive in enforcement, represent a growing acknowledgment of identity-based inequality and a move toward inclusive governance (Wikipedia, 2024).

Mechanisms of Conflict

The emergence and intensification of identity politics in Thailand cannot be understood without analyzing the mechanisms through which identity-based conflict is reproduced. These mechanisms include narrative contestation, structural inequalities, and legal struggles over representation. Together, they create the conditions for both overt political mobilization and underlying social tension.

1. Narrative Contestation

One of the most enduring mechanisms of conflict in Thai society is discursive construction—the use of narrative to define who belongs and who does not. During the Cold War era, the Thai state actively deployed narratives that framed various groups as "Others" to consolidate political legitimacy. This discursive battle pitted government-backed nationalism against leftist and regional counter-narratives (Yensabai, 2019).

For example, Isaan people, whose geographic location near Laos and Vietnam made them suspect during the Cold War, were often viewed as potential communist sympathizers. Likewise, the ethnic Chinese population was targeted as an alien economic elite with conflicting loyalties, and Americans—though military allies—were also presented ambivalently in local critiques of imperialism and cultural domination. This construction of in-groups and out-groups reinforced a binary view of "authentic Thainess" versus external subversion (Yensabai, 2019). These narrative divisions laid the foundation for continued marginalization of minority groups in post-Cold War Thailand.

2. Structural Inequalities

Beneath the narrative level, structural inequalities serve as a powerful mechanism that sustains identity-based conflict. The most visible example is the regional underdevelopment of Isaan, Thailand's Northeast region. Despite being the most populous region, Isaan consistently receives less infrastructure investment, has lower education and healthcare indicators, and remains largely dependent on agriculture and labor migration (Chambers & Waitoolkiat, 2016; Beech, 2014).

These economic disparities have fueled resentment and political mobilization, particularly among young voters and Red Shirt supporters who view Bangkok elites as indifferent or exploitative. Studies show that unequal resource distribution, combined with a sense of cultural suppression, has intensified demands for decentralization, greater fiscal autonomy, and equitable representation (ISEAS-Yusof Ishak Institute, 2020). As a result, the conflict is not simply economic but deeply symbolic and identity-laden, where inequality reinforces the feeling of exclusion from national belonging.

3. Legal Struggle and Representation

The struggle over legal recognition and representation is another crucial mechanism by which identity-based conflict is both expressed and potentially addressed. In recent years, efforts such as the Ethnic Protection Bill and Anti-Discrimination legislation have been proposed to protect marginalized communities from identity-based exclusion. These legislative measures aim to address systemic inequalities based on race, gender, religion, and regional identity (Wikipedia, 2024).

However, such legal initiatives are double-edged: while they signal progress, they also reveal contentious political fault lines. Debates around these bills often trigger resistance from conservative groups who fear that acknowledging minority rights may undermine national unity or traditional values. Thus, the legal system becomes a battleground for identity recognition, where courts, lawmakers, and civil society actors contest the meaning of equality and inclusion.

This dynamic reveals that identity conflict in Thailand is not just a matter of informal prejudice but is institutionally embedded—in education, law, media, and governance structures. Therefore, resolving such conflict requires more than legal reform; it demands a cultural and institutional rethinking of what it means to be "Thai."

Case Studies

To illustrate the dynamics of identity politics in Thailand, four case studies highlight how historical narratives, regional and ethnic identity, religious autonomy, and gender identity converge with political conflict, resistance, and reform efforts.

1. Cold War-era Identity Narratives

During the Cold War period, the Thai state engaged in a powerful campaign to define "Thai-ness" by juxtaposing it against constructed external and internal "Others." This process was not only ideological but strategic, aiming to unify the nation under the monarchy and Central Thai elite, while delegitimizing dissent and alternative worldviews (Yensabai, 2019).

The government portrayed groups such as Isaan villagers, ethnic Chinese, and even American forces (despite being military allies) as either culturally inferior or morally corrupting. Leftist intellectuals, especially those involved with rural development or Marxist critique, were framed as subversive threats aligned with

communism (Yensabai, 2019). These discourses became central to state propaganda, which advanced monarchical nationalism, Buddhist moralism, and obedience to the military.

The outcome was the entrenchment of Central Thai hegemony, reinforced by education, media, and bureaucracy. The Cold War narrative solidified the monarchy's symbolic dominance and framed loyalty to the king as synonymous with national unity (Wikipedia, 2024). This historical framework continues to influence how dissent and regional assertion are perceived today.

2. Isaan's Regional Assertion and the Red Shirt Movement

The Isaan region, long marginalized economically and culturally, emerged as a political force in the 2000s. The rise of Thaksin Shinawatra, a populist leader who introduced pro-poor policies such as universal healthcare and village development funds, gained massive support in Isaan and reframed regional identity into political capital (Beech, 2014).

After the 2006 military coup, Isaan became the heartland of the Red Shirt movement, whose supporters protested against the Bangkok elite, calling for electoral democracy, redistributive justice, and political inclusion. The Red Shirts politicized Isaan's historical neglect, asserting their identity not only as a regional community but also as equal stakeholders in the nation (Chambers & Waitoolkiat, 2016).

While the majority seek reform and decentralization, some fringe voices have spoken of autonomy or separatist aspirations, though this is often overstated in state narratives as a justification for repression (SIBA-ESE, 2021). The political agency of Isaan illustrates how regional identity can evolve into organized democratic activism or be painted as a national threat.

3. Southern Insurgency in the Phuket–Patani Region

The southern border provinces of Pattani, Yala, and Narathiwat are home to Thailand's Malay-Muslim population, who possess a distinct ethno-religious identity rooted in Islam, the Malay language, and historical memory of the Patani Sultanate. Since the early 2000s, the region has experienced a violent insurgency demanding recognition, autonomy, and an end to state repression (McCargo, 2008).

Insurgents reject the assimilationist policies of the Thai state, particularly the imposition of Thai language in schools and bureaucracy. The movement blends separatist aspirations with Islamic identity politics, though not all Muslims support violence. Some factions also engage in criminal activities, blurring lines between ideology and local economic interest (Lowy Institute, 2020).

Despite peace-building efforts, the conflict remains unresolved due to deep mistrust, militarization, and limited concessions from Bangkok. The Patani case exemplifies how identity politics can escalate into violent conflict when cultural autonomy and political representation are denied.

4. LGBTQ+, Kathoey, and Legal Reform

Thailand enjoys global visibility for its gender diversity, particularly the presence of kathoey (transgender women), yet legal recognition and protections for LGBTQ+ individuals remain insufficient. Discrimination in employment, access to healthcare, and inability to change legal documents are persistent problems (Winter, 2006).

LGBTQ+ identity politics in Thailand has moved beyond cultural tolerance toward legal activism. Organizations have campaigned for the Gender Equality Act and

Civil Partnership Bill, but legislative reforms face resistance from conservative institutions and are often delayed or watered down (Wikipedia, 2024). The struggle illustrates how symbolic recognition does not guarantee structural inclusion.

While kathoey are highly visible in media and tourism, their rights remain precarious. This contradiction between cultural acceptance and legal invisibility underscores the ongoing battle for dignity, equality, and self-determination within the Thai legal system.

Policy Responses & Social Intervention

Addressing identity-based conflict in Thailand requires both structural reform and discursive transformation. In recent years, the state, civil society, and international actors have proposed a range of legal, institutional, and community-based strategies to mitigate inequality and promote inclusion. However, the effectiveness of these efforts remains uneven due to political resistance, limited decentralization, and entrenched centralism.

1. Legal Frameworks

One of the most visible responses to identity-based exclusion in Thailand has been the proposal and partial implementation of legal protections. The Ethnic Protection Bill and the Gender Equality Act are among the most notable legislative developments aiming to safeguard the rights of marginalized communities, including ethnic minorities, LGBTQ+ individuals, and religious groups (Wikipedia, 2024).

The Ethnic Protection Bill seeks to recognize and preserve the cultural practices, languages, and identities of Thailand's diverse ethnic groups, granting them more visibility in education, administration, and public discourse. Likewise, the Gender Equality Act B.E. 2558 (2015) prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender, including sexual orientation and gender identity.

Despite these promising legal developments, implementation remains highly contested. Critics point to the vague language of the laws, the lack of robust enforcement mechanisms, and resistance from conservative factions within the government and religious institutions. As a result, many marginalized groups remain legally recognized but socially and economically excluded.

2. Decentralization and Inclusion

Another critical policy response is the call for decentralization—particularly in the context of Isaan and the southern border provinces. Decentralization is seen as a strategy to redistribute power, promote local governance, and reflect regional cultural identities in policy and education (Chambers & Waitoolkiat, 2016).

Demands from regional activists include the devolution of budget authority, curriculum flexibility to include local history and language, and greater political representation at both local and national levels. In the Deep South, decentralization also means allowing Islamic education, respecting Malay-Muslim culture, and promoting participatory peacebuilding.

While some reforms have been implemented—such as local administrative organizations (TAOs) and village development councils—true decentralization is constrained by central ministries and military-appointed governors. As long as

Bangkok-centric governance dominates, the promise of inclusive development will remain limited.

3. Civil Society and Multi-Stakeholder Engagement

Beyond state mechanisms, civil society plays a critical role in fostering inclusive discourse and challenging hegemonic narratives of "Thai-ness." NGOs, academic networks, human rights organizations, and grassroots movements have created platforms for marginalized voices, mobilizing around issues such as ethnic language rights, gender justice, and interfaith dialogue (UNDP, 2019).

Multi-stakeholder engagement—involving the state, civil society, religious leaders, youth groups, and international donors—is essential for long-term conflict resolution. These actors facilitate trust-building, dialogue mechanisms, and policy advocacy aimed at reducing polarization. In some cases, transnational support has helped strengthen LGBTQ+ rights advocacy and interethnic reconciliation in the South (UN Women, 2020).

Nevertheless, civil society is constrained by laws such as the NGO Control Bill and surveillance of activists, particularly those working on minority rights. Without legal and political space, civil society efforts risk co-optation or repression.

Discussion & Implications

Thailand's experiences with identity politics illustrate the complex interplay between national unity and social diversity, exposing the underlying tensions in state-building, cultural dominance, and democratic aspirations. As the country grapples with demands from ethnic, regional, religious, and gendered communities, key challenges and opportunities emerge for both domestic governance and comparative global scholarship.

1. Balancing Unity and Representation

At the heart of Thailand's identity conflict lies the state's ongoing attempt to uphold a unified national identity—rooted in Central Thai culture, Theravāda Buddhism, and monarchical symbolism—while the country becomes increasingly pluralistic in practice. This pluralism is not only cultural but also political: regional movements, religious minorities, and gender rights activists all challenge the state's exclusive conception of "Thainess."

The state's insistence on cultural homogeneity undermines the democratic promise of equal recognition and representative governance. As noted by Winichakul (1994), the Thai nation was historically imagined through centralized mapping and symbolic unification, which continues to shape its political structure. However, this top-down vision is increasingly out of step with local experiences and identities, especially in Isaan and the deep South (McCargo, 2008). True national integration requires mechanisms for inclusive pluralism, where diverse identities are not merely tolerated but institutionalized in law, education, and governance.

2. Potential Futures: Democratization or Fracture

The future trajectory of identity politics in Thailand depends significantly on policy choices made in the coming years. Progressive developments—such as the Ethnic Protection Bill and decentralization reforms—could pave the way for a more inclusive democracy that respects cultural rights and enables political participation across regions and identities (Chambers & Waitoolkiat, 2016).

Conversely, failure to address identity-based grievances risks deepening social fragmentation. In particular, the southern insurgency may escalate if demands for autonomy continue to be repressed, while the marginalization of Isaan communities could catalyze further polarization between rural and urban electorates. The continued erosion of legal protections for LGBTQ+ individuals, indigenous groups, and religious minorities may also foster civil unrest or international scrutiny.

Ultimately, Thailand stands at a crossroads between consolidating a multi-ethnic democracy and reinforcing hierarchical nationalism. The strength of civil society, the independence of the judiciary, and the flexibility of political institutions will be critical factors in shaping this outcome.

3. Broader Relevance

Thailand's identity politics are not isolated but resonate with global debates on ethnicity, nationalism, and resilience. Similar tensions are observed in Myanmar, Malaysia, and Indonesia, where post-colonial states attempt to integrate diverse populations under centralized systems. In each case, the balance between national cohesion and local autonomy defines both the quality of democracy and the risks of conflict.

Moreover, Thailand's experience provides insights into how discursive control—through education, media, and state narratives—shapes the boundaries of belonging. The Thai case also demonstrates the importance of subnational political movements and intersectional activism (e.g., Red Shirts, LGBTQ+ organizations, Malay-Muslim networks) in challenging monolithic state narratives and demanding justice.

As such, Thailand is a critical case study for scholars and policymakers interested in the nexus between identity, development, and governance in transitional democracies.

Conclusion

The landscape of identity politics in contemporary Thai society is the result of deep historical processes, structural inequalities, and discursive constructions that have evolved over decades. Identity in Thailand is not monolithic but stratified across multiple intersecting axes—including ethnicity, region, religion, and gender. These identities are not only culturally significant but have become political instruments in struggles for recognition, autonomy, and justice.

This article has shown that identity-based conflict in Thailand is driven by both state-led nationalism—rooted in the Cold War's ideological framing and continued through policies of Thaification—and the resistance of marginalized communities, such as Isaan villagers, Malay-Muslims, and LGBTQ+ activists, who challenge the dominant definitions of "Thai-ness." Such resistance reflects the unresolved tensions between national unity and cultural plurality.

Effective conflict resolution in Thailand must move beyond symbolic gestures. It requires addressing structural grievances—such as economic exclusion, cultural erasure, and legal invisibility—while creating inclusive mechanisms for representation and participation. This includes strengthening protective legislation, implementing decentralization reforms, and ensuring meaningful engagement from civil society actors.

Looking ahead, future research should explore the evolving role of digital media, which has amplified marginalized voices and enabled new forms of identity-based mobilization. The influence of globalization and intergenerational change—especially as Thai youth reimagine national narratives, challenge historical myths, and engage with global discourses on rights and democracy—presents fertile ground for understanding the future trajectory of identity politics in Thailand and beyond.

Thailand's experience underscores a broader truth: democracy cannot flourish without dignity, visibility, and empowerment for all identities within the nation.

References

Beech, H. (2014). In Thailand's Northeast, Red Shirts See a Fight for Identity. *TIME Magazine*. Retrieved from https://time.com/2948172/thailand-isaan-province-identity/

Beech, H. (2014). In Thailand's Northeast, Red Shirts See a Fight for Identity. *TIME Magazine*. Retrieved from https://time.com/2948172/thailand-isaan-province-identity/

Bourdieu, P. (1977). *Outline of a Theory of Practice*. Cambridge University Press.

Cambridge University Press & Assessment. (2020). *Thai Habitus in Contemporary Society: Paving the Way for Tackling Inequality*.

Chambers, P., & Waitoolkiat, N. (2016). The Resurgence of Red Shirt Support in Isaan**.** *ISEAS-Yusof Ishak Institute Perspectives*, 2016(43).

Heyes, C. (2020). *Identity Politics*. In E.N. Zalta (Ed.), The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. Retrieved from https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/identity-politics/

Lowy Institute. (2020). *The Southern Thailand Conflict: Negotiations and Obstacles*. Retrieved from https://www.lowyinstitute.org/

McCargo, D. (2005). Network monarchy and legitimacy crises in Thailand. *The Pacific Review*, 18(4), 499–519.

_____. (2008). *Tearing Apart the Land: Islam and Legitimacy in Southern Thailand*. Cornell University Press.

Naruemon, T., & McCargo, D. (2011). Thailand's political order in crisis: Public opinion and institutional legitimacy. *Contemporary Southeast Asia*, 33(1), 1–27.

SIBA-ESE Working Papers. (2021). *Narratives of Autonomy and Identity in Thai Border Regions*. Retrieved from https://siba-ese.unisalento.it

Thongchai Winichakul. (1994). *Siam Mapped: A History of the Geo-body of a Nation*. University of Hawaii Press.

UN Women. (2020). *Promoting Gender Equality and Empowerment of Women in Thailand*. Retrieved from https://asiapacific.unwomen.org/

UNDP. (2019). *Thailand: Human Development Report*. United Nations Development Programme.

Unisalento. (2021). *SIBA-ESE Working Papers Series*. Retrieved from https://siba-ese.unisalento.it

Wikipedia. (2024). *Ethnic Protection Bill (Thailand)*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ethnic_Protection_Bill

_____. (2024). *Gender Equality Act B.E. 2558*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gender_Equality_Act_(Thailand)

_____. (2024). *Kathoey*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kathoey

_____. (2024). *Thaification*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Thaification

Winichakul, T. (1994). *Siam Mapped: A History of the Geo-body of a Nation*. University of Hawaii Press.

_____. (2000). The quest for "Siwilai": A geographical discourse of civilization focusing on the late 19th and early 20th century Siam. *The Journal of Asian Studies*, 59(3), 528–549.

Winter, S. (2006). Thai Transgenders in Focus: Demographics, Transitions and Identities. *International Journal of Transgenderism*, 9(1), 15–27.

Yensabai, R. (2019). *Cold War Narratives in Thailand: The Thai Self and the Foreign Other*. PhD Thesis, University of Leeds. Retrieved from https://etheses.whiterose.ac.uk/25278/